Use and abuse of additive models in quantitative genetics

Arnaud Le Rouzic

Laboratoire Évolution, Génomes, Comportement, Écologie UMR CNRS – IRD – Univ. Paris Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette

> VIVALDI Final Conference Brest, 27 Nov 2019

Quantitative genetics

A statistical approach to the genetic architecture of complex traits

• Predict the response to artificial selection



Fig. 3. Pure line selection in beans. The experiment demonstrated that a mixed population of a self pollinated crop may be separated into pure lines inhereastly different, but that further selection with a pure line is ineffective in changing the growstype of the line.

Quantitative genetics

A statistical approach to the genetic architecture of complex traits

- Predict the response to artificial selection
- Statistical framework to detect candidate genes



◆□▶ ◆檀▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ─ 豆

Quantitative genetics

A statistical approach to the genetic architecture of complex traits

- Predict the response to artificial selection
- Statistical framework to detect candidate genes
- Powerful set of theoretical tools to understand evolution



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Additive models

- The "additive effect" at a locus corresponds to the slope of a linear regression
- The variance explained by the regression is the "additive genetic variance"
- Summed up over all loci \rightarrow prediction of the response to selection



<ロト < 同ト < 回ト < 回ト = 三日

Epistasis

Genetics does not necessarily add up ! Dominance Intra-locus interactions Epistasis Inter-locus interactions



Epistasis

Genetics does not necessarily add up ! Dominance Intra-locus interactions Epistasis Inter-locus interactions



Epistasis

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Genetics does not necessarily add up ! Dominance Intra-locus interactions Epistasis Inter-locus interactions



Is epistasis important?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三三 - のへの

A century-old question ! (obviously a complex one)



Is epistasis important?

Non-important

- Epistasis does not matter
 - Mathematically, epistasis just adds noise to the average gene effects
 - *V_A* is the only quantity that matters to predict evolution
- There is not so much epistasis
 - Very strong epistasis \rightarrow No additive variance
 - QTL mapping reveals mostly additive genetic effects

シック・ ボー・ キョッ キョッ ト キョッ

Is epistasis important?

Important

- Epistasis does matter
 - Epistasis is a major determinant in the evolution of *V*_A
 - Epistasis conditions evolutionary trajectories
- The absence of epistasis is impossible
 - Quantitative genetics is the only field in biology for which the additive hypothesis is reasonable Developmental biology, Systems biology, Phylogeny, Physiology, Speciation genetics...

Non-important

- Epistasis does not matter
 - Mathematically, epistasis just adds noise to the average gene effects
 - *V_A* is the only quantity that matters to predict evolution
- There is not so much epistasis
 - Very strong epistasis \rightarrow No additive variance
 - QTL mapping reveals mostly additive genetic effects

シック・ ボー・ キョッ キョッ ト キョッ

Example 1 : Selection response and directional epistasis

Predicting the potential for selection response several generations ahead

- Random epistasis does not affect selection response
- Non-random (directional) epistasis matters :
 - Synergistic
 - Antagonistic



Example 1 : Selection response and directional epistasis

Predicting the potential for selection response several generations ahead

- Random epistasis does not affect selection response
- Non-random (directional) epistasis matters :
 - Synergistic
 - Antagonistic



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●□ ● ●

Example 2 : Gene mapping and sign epistasis



- QTL (linkage) mapping among two divergent chicken lines Carlborg et al (2006)
- Traditional QTL scan : 1 significant QTL, 4% phenotypic difference

Example 2 : Gene mapping and sign epistasis



- QTL (linkage) mapping among two divergent chicken lines Carlborg et al (2006)
- Traditional QTL scan : 1 significant QTL, 4% phenotypic difference
- 2D-QTL scan : 4 significant QTLs, 40% phenotypic difference

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Example 2 : Gene mapping and sign epistasis





- QTL (linkage) mapping among two divergent chicken lines Carlborg et al (2006)
- Traditional QTL scan : 1 significant QTL, 4% phenotypic difference
- 2D-QTL scan : 4 significant QTLs, 40% phenotypic difference

• A major sign-epistasis locus involved

Many open questions in evolutionary biology

- How epistatic are real G-P maps?
- Missing heritability & the nature of heritable variance
- Long term vs. short-term responses to selection
- Evolution of mutational effects Genetic robustness (canalization), evolvability...

Why not considering epistasis all the time?

- Additional layer(s) of complexity in the models
- Feeling that additive models are satisfactory
- Unstable, unsatisfactory, and recent theoretical bases
- Much more data necessary to estimate epistasis e.g. >1000 for linkage mapping, >10000 for GWAS
- Statistical issues (power, FDR) and computational burden

Acknowledgements



Collaborators, co-authors, discussions

- José M. Álvarez-Castro
- Thomas F. Hansen
- Christophe Pélabon
- Christophe Guyeux
- Vincent Debat
- Anne Genissel
- Maud Tenaillon
- Laurent Loison

Students

- Estelle Rünneburger
- Andreas Odorico
- Thomas Riolland
- Sylvain Pouzet
- Jérémy Guez
- Ewer Burban

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○